UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☑ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

AMERICAN STRATI (Exact National Corporate Research Ltd. 202 South Minnesota Street	ne of Registrant as Specified in C 333-171214 (Commission File N	Charter) 14 01-0949984
Nevada (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) C/o National Corporate Research Ltd.	333-171214	14 01-0949984
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) C/o National Corporate Research Ltd.		
of incorporation) C/o National Corporate Research Ltd.	(Commission File N	Number) (IRS Employer Identification No.)
Carson City, NV		89703
(Address of principal executive offices)		(Zip Code)
subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitfile required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule for such shorter period that the registrant was required to Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer,"	tted electronically and posted on 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.40 submit and post such files). Yes let accelerated filer, an accelerated	105 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (of s ⊠ No □ ed filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting
Act.	accelerated their and smaller	a reporting company in Kule 126-2 of the Exchang
	☐ Accelerated fi	filer \square
Large accelerated filer		
Large accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)		orting company 🗵

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements.	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)	5
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	22
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	28
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	28
	PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	28
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	28
Item 3.	Defaults upon Senior Securities.	29
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	29
Item 5.	Other Information.	29
Item 6.	Exhibits.	29

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

Unless specifically set forth to the contrary, "American Strategic Minerals Corporation," "Amicor," "we," "us," "our" and similar terms refer to American Strategic Minerals Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and subsidiaries.

Item 1. Financial Statements

AMERICAN STRATEGIC MINERALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (FORMERLY VERVE VENTURES, INC.) (DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	 ember 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	Dec	ember 31, 2011
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash	\$ 1,525,799	\$	129,152
Marketable securities - available for sale securities	 12,500	_	-
Note receivable - related party	147,708		_
Deposits in real estate under contract	85,660		_
Deposits pursuant to non-binding agreements	150,000		_
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	57,916		_
Assets of discontinued operations - current portion	-		20,000
Total current assets	 1,979,583		149,152
Total current assess	 1,575,505		117,132
Other assets:			
Real estate held for sale	1,521,247		-
Assets of discontinued operations - long term portion	-		3,500
Total other assets	1,521,247		3,500
Total Assets	\$ 3,500,830	\$	152,652
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 205,192	\$	4,000
Notes payable - related party	-		152,974
Advances payable	-		100,000
Liabilities of discontinued operations	30,664		<u> </u>
Total liabilities	 235,856		256,974
Stockholders' Equity (deficit):			
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value, 50,000,000 shares			
authorized: none issued and outstanding	-		-
Common stock, (\$.0001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized;			
34,118,127 and 10,000,000 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31,			
2011	3,412		1,000
Additional paid-in capital	9,933,153		4,000
Accumulated other comprehensive income - marketable securities available for sale	(112,500)		-
Accumulated deficit	 (6,548,595)		(109,322)
	2 2 7 4 7 2		(10.1.000)
Total American Strategic Minerals Corporation deficit	3,275,470		(104,322)
Non-controlling interest in subsidiary	(10,496)		-
rvon-condoning interest in substituti y	(10,490)		
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	3,264,974		(104,322)
	2,201,271	_	(101,322)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 3,500,830	\$	152,652

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN STRATEGIC MINERALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (FORMERLY VERVE VENTURES, INC.) (DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (APRIL 30, 2011) TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (APRIL 30, 2011) TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 (Unaudited)
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Expenses					
Compensation and related taxes	1,556,790	_	2,479,182	_	2,479,182
Consulting fees	92,473	-	1,949,067	-	1,949,067
Professional fees	79,608	2,300	449,811	2,300	454,416
General and administrative	80,255	153	272,793	1,690	278,036
Total operating expenses	1,809,126	2,453	5,150,853	3,990	5,160,701
Operating loss from continuing operations	(1,809,126)	(2,453)	(5,150,853)	(3,990)	(5,160,701)
Other income (expenses)					
Other income	-	-	125,000	-	125,000
Interest income	2,757	-	2,930	-	2,930
Total other income	2,757	-	127,930	-	127,930
Loss from continuing operations before					
provision for income taxes	(1,806,369)	(2,453)	(5,022,923)	(3,990)	(5,032,771)
Provision for income taxes	-	-		-	
Loss from continuing operations	(1,806,369)	(2,453)	(5,022,923)	(3,990)	(5,032,771)
Discontinued operations:					
Loss from discontinued operations, net of					
tax	(96,921)	-	(1,426,846)	-	(1,526,320)
Net loss	(1,903,290)	(2,453)	(6,449,769)	(3,990)	(6,559,091)
Less: Net loss attributable to non- controlling interest	10,395	-	10,496	-	10,496
Net loss attributable to American Strategic Minerals Corporation	\$ (1,892,895)	\$ (2,453)	\$ (6,439,273)	\$ (3,990)	\$ (6,548,595)
Loss per common share, basic and diluted:					
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.21)
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.04)	(0.00)	(0.07)
	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.18)		\$ (0.28)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON					
SHARES OUTSTANDING - Basic					
and Diluted	33,875,085	10,000,000	35,328,936	10,000,000	23,323,313

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

AMERICAN STRATEGIC MINERALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (FORMERLY VERVE VENTURES, INC.) (DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (APRIL 30, 2011) TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	PERIOD FROM INCEPTION (APRIL 30, 2011) TO SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 (Unaudited)
	(,	((,
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss attributable to American Strategic Minerals Corporation	\$ (6,439,273)	\$ (3,990)	\$ (6,548,595)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:	2,660,800		2,660,800
Stock based compensation on warrants granted Stock based compensation on options granted	1,454,400		1,454,400
Common stock issued for services	75,000	-	75,000
Non-controlling interest	(10,496)	_	(10,496)
Non-cash other income	(125,000)	-	(125,000)
Impairment of mineral rights	1,256,000	-	1,355,474
Impairment of assets of discontinued operations	30,248	-	30,248
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
Assets of discontinued operations - current portion	20,000	-	20,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(54,516)	-	(74,516)
Deposits	(235,660)	-	(239,160)
Assets of discontinued operations - long term portion	3,915	2,000	3,915
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	201,193	3,990	205,193
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,163,389)	-	(1,192,737)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisition of mineral rights	(325,000)	_	(325,000)
Note receivable - related party	(147,708)	-	(147,708)
Acquisition of real estate property	(1,366,627)	-	(1,366,627)
Capitalized cost related to improvements of real estate property	(154,620)	<u></u>	(154,620)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,993,955)	<u> </u>	(1,993,955)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Payment on note payable	(930,000)	-	(930,000)
Payment on note payable - related party	(152,974)	-	(152,974)
Payment in connection with the cancellation of stock and rescission agreement	(132,000)	-	(132,000)
Proceeds from advances payables	-	-	100,000
Proceeds from promissory note - related party		-	53,500
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net of issuance costs	5,768,965		5,773,965
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,553,991		4,712,491
Net increase in cash	1,396,647	-	1,525,799
Cash at beginning of period	129,152		
Cash at end of period	\$ 1,525,799	\$ -	\$ 1,525,799
·			
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for:			
Interest	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Income taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Issuance of a note payable to a related party in connection with the purchase of mining rights	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 99,474
Issuance of common stock for advances payable	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000
Assumption of prepaid assets upon exercise of option agreement	\$ 43,157	\$ -	\$ 43,157
Assumption of accounts payable upon exercise of option agreement	\$ 30,664	\$ -	\$ 30,664
		¢	
Issuance of a note payable in connection with an option agreement	\$ 930,000	φ -	\$ 930,000
Issuance of common stock in connection with an option agreement	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ 1,000

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Organization

American Strategic Minerals Corporation ("the Company"), formerly Verve Ventures, Inc., was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on February 23, 2010. On December 7, 2011, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to change its name to "American Strategic Minerals Corporation" from "Verve Ventures, Inc.", and increase the Company's authorized capital to 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. During June 2012, the Company decided to discontinue its exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business and focus its activities exclusively on its new business segment, which is in the business of acquiring, renovating, and selling real estate properties.

On August 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company's voting capital voted in favor of (i) changing the name of the Company to "Fidelity Property Group, Inc." and (ii) the adoption the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan and reserving 10,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance thereunder (the "2012 Plan"). The Board of Directors of the Company approved the name change and the adoption of the 2012 Plan on August 1, 2012. The Company did not file an amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Nevada and subsequently abandoned the decision to adopt the "Fidelity Property Group, Inc." name.

Subsequently, on October 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company's voting capital voted and authorized the Company to (i) change the name of the Company to "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." (the "Name Change") and (ii) effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's common stock by a ratio of 3-for-2 (the "Reverse Split") within one year from the date of approval of the stockholders of the Company. The Board of Directors of the Company approved the Name Change and the Reverse Split on October 1, 2012. The Company's Board of Directors determined the name "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." better reflects the long-term strategy in exploring other opportunities and the identity of the Company going forward. As of the date of this Quarterly Report, the Company has not yet filed an amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Nevada.

The Company is currently in the business of acquiring, renovating, and selling real estate properties (primarily residential in nature). The Company intends to seek to sell its real estate holdings within 10 to 12 months from the date of purchase.

The Company's objectives include the following:

- To purchase distressed single family homes, principally within Southern California, and renovate, and market its properties for resale; and
- To purchase distressed multi-unit residential real estate and to renovate and operate as income producing property, generating cash flow and long-term capital appreciation.

On January 26, 2012, the Company entered into a Share Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") with American Strategic Minerals Corporation, a Colorado corporation ("Amicor") and the shareholders of Amicor (the "Amicor Shareholders"). Upon closing of the transaction contemplated under the Exchange Agreement (the "Share Exchange"), on January 26, 2012, the Amicor Shareholders transferred all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Amicor to the Company in exchange for an aggregate of 10,000,000 shares of the common stock of the Company. The Share Exchange caused Amicor to become a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Additionally, as further consideration for entering into the Exchange Agreement, certain Amicor Shareholders received ten-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 6,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of 0.50 per share. Prior to acquisition by the Company, Amicor owned certain mining and mineral rights.

Amicor, formerly Nuclear Energy Corporation, was incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado on April 30, 2011. Amicor owns mining leases of federal unpatented mining claims and leases private lands in the states of Utah and Colorado for the purpose of exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals.

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS (continued)

Prior to the Share Exchange, the Company was a shell company with no business operations.

The Share Exchange was accounted for as a reverse-merger and recapitalization. Amicor was the acquirer for financial reporting purposes and the Company was the acquired company. Consequently, the assets and liabilities and the operations reflected in the historical financial statements prior to the Share Exchange were those of Amicor and was recorded at the historical cost basis of Amicor, and the consolidated financial statements after completion of the Share Exchange included the assets and liabilities of the Company and Amicor, historical operations of Amicor and operations of the Company from the closing date of the Share Exchange.

On June 11, 2012, the Company terminated various leases related to its uranium mining claims (the "Claims"), consisting of: the Cutler King Property (3 unpatented mining claims); "Centennial-Sun Cup" (42 unpatented mining claims); "Bull Canyon" (2 unpatented mining claims); "Martin Mesa" (51 unpatented mining claims); "Avalanche/Ajax" (8 unpatented mining claims) and "Home Mesa" (9 unpatented mining claims). The Company had acquired the Claims through the acquisition of Amicor on January 26, 2012. The decision by the Company to terminate these leases followed changes in management and direction of the Company, a review of the uranium market, and the timing and costs expected to pursue the business.

On June 11, 2012, the Company entered into a rescission agreement (the "Rescission Agreement") with Amicor, and the Amicor Shareholders. Each of the Amicor Shareholders had previously received shares of the Company's common stock (and certain of the Amicor Shareholders also received warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock) (collectively, the "Shareholder Securities") pursuant to the Rescission Agreement. Each of the Amicor Shareholders, with the exception of one, agreed to return the Shareholder Securities to the Company for cancellation and to enter into joint mutual releases with the Company. Furthermore, pursuant to the terms of the Rescission Agreement, George Glasier resigned from his position as President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Company; Kathleen Glasier resigned from her position as Secretary of the Company, Michael Moore resigned from his position as Chief Operating Officer and Vice President of the Company and each of David Andrews and Kyle Kimmerle resigned from their position as a director of the Company. As a result of the foregoing, the Company cancelled 9,806,667 shares of the Company's common stock and 4,800,000 warrants and terminated the mining leases entered into with the Amicor Shareholders. Additionally, the Company paid an aggregate of \$132,000 to Amicor Shareholders upon the execution of the Rescission Agreement.

Under the terms of the Rescission Agreement, the Company's employment agreement with Mr. Glasier was terminated and all options, warrants and rights to acquire any shares of the Company's common stock, whether vested or unvested, were terminated as of the date of the Rescission Agreement. Additionally, under the terms of the Rescission Agreement, the Company's lease for certain office space, dated as of January 26, 2012 with Silver Hawk Ltd., an entity owned and controlled by George Glasier and Kathleen Glasier, was terminated.

On June 11, 2012, the Company and Pershing Gold Corporation ("Pershing") effected the exercise of the Option Agreement executed in January 2012, through the assignment of Pershing's wholly owned subsidiary, Continental Resources Acquisition Sub, Inc. ("Acquisition Sub"), (see Note 5). As a result of the assignment, Acquisition Sub became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and the Company acquired all of Pershing's uranium assets.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP") and present the financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. In the preparation of consolidated financial statements of the Company, intercompany transactions and balances were eliminated. All adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2012, and the results of operations and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 have been included. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The accounting policies and procedures employed in the preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements have been derived from the audited financial statements of the Company for the period ended December 31, 2011, which are contained in Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 31, 2012. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 was derived from those financial statements.

As reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had a net loss of approximately \$6.4 million and \$1.2 million of net cash used in operations. At September 30, 2012, the Company had a working capital of approximately \$1.7 million. Additionally, at September 30, 2012, the Company had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$6.5 million. However, of the \$6.4 million of net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, approximately \$5.3 million primarily consisted of non cash expenses such as stock based compensation to certain employees and consultants and impairment of mining rights. As of September 30, 2012, the Company has cash for a total of approximately \$1.5 million. Based on the Company's historical use of cash and other mitigating factors, management believes that the Company has met its expected needs required to support its operations for the next 12 months.

Development Stage Company

The Company is presented as a development stage company. Activities during the development stage include organizing the business, raising capital and acquiring real estate properties. The Company is a development stage company with no revenues and no profits. The Company has not commenced significant operations and, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 915 "Development Stage Entities", is considered a development stage company.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments and other short-term investments with maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalent balances at one financial institution that is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Company's account at this institution is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") up to \$250,000. In addition to the basic insurance deposit coverage, the FDIC is providing temporary unlimited coverage for non-interest bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company has reached bank balances exceeding the FDIC insurance limit of approximately \$960,000. To reduce its risk associated with the failure of such financial institution, the Company evaluates at least annually the rating of the financial institution in which it holds deposits.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, the assumptions used to calculate fair value of warrants granted, common stock issued for services, and common stock issued in connection with an option agreement and the valuation of mineral rights.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities that the Company invests in publicly traded equity securities and are generally restricted for sale under Federal securities laws. The Company's policy is to liquidate securities received when market conditions are favorable for sale. Since these securities are often restricted, the Company is unable to liquidate them until the restriction is removed. Pursuant to ASC Topic 320, "Investments –Debt and Equity Securities" the Company's marketable securities have a readily determinable and active quoted price, such as from NASDAQ, NYSE Euronext, the Over the Counter Bulletin Board, and the OTC Markets Group.

Available for sale securities are carried at fair value, with changes in unrealized gains or losses are recognized as an element of comprehensive income based on changes in the fair value of the security. Once liquidated, realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities available for sale are reflected in the net income (loss) for the period in which the security was liquidated.

Comprehensive Income

Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-05 amends Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Codification Topic 220 on comprehensive income (1) to eliminate the current option to present the components of other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in equity, and (2) to require presentation of net income and other comprehensive income (and their respective components) either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. These amendments do not alter any current recognition or measurement requirements in respect of items of other comprehensive income. The amendments in this Update are to be applied prospectively.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company adopted FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820"), for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing generally accepted accounting principles that require the use of fair value measurements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. The adoption of ASC 820 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position or operating results, but did expand certain disclosures.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Investment measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Fair Value Measurements Using:			
	Significant			
	Quoted Prices	Other	Significant	
	in Active	Observable	Unobservable	
	Markets	Inputs	Inputs	
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	
Marketable securities – available for sale, net of discount for effect of restriction	\$ -	\$	\$ 12,500	

The Company classifies the investments in marketable securities available for sale as Level 3, adjusted for the effect of restriction. The securities are restricted and cannot be readily resold by the Company absent a registration of those securities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") or the availabilities of an exemption from the registration requirements under the Securities Act. As these securities are often restricted, the Company is unable to liquidate them until the restriction is removed. Unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities available for sale are recognized as an element of comprehensive income based on changes in the fair value of the security. Once liquidated, realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities available for sale are reflected in our net income for the period in which the security was liquidated.

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, prepaid expenses, note receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses, approximate their estimated fair market value based on the short-term maturity of this instrument.

In addition, FASB ASC 825-10-25 "Fair Value Option" was effective for January 1, 2008. ASC 825-10-25 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value.

Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses of \$55,700 and \$20,000 at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, consist primarily of costs paid for future services which will occur within a year. Prepaid expenses include prepayments in cash of public relation services and consulting which are being amortized over the terms of their respective agreements.

Deposits

Deposits at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$235,660 and \$0, respectively, which consist of deposits paid to third parties pursuant to non-binding agreements amounting to \$150,000 and earnest money deposits in connection with real estate properties under contract of \$85,660.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Real estate held for sale

Real estate held for sale consists of a residential property located in Southern California. Real estate held for sale is initially recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair market value less the estimated cost to sell. After acquisition, costs incurred relating to the development and improvements of property are capitalized to the extent they do not cause the recorded value to exceed the net realizable value, whereas costs relating to holding and disposition of the property are expensed as incurred. After acquisition, real estate held for sale is analyzed periodically for changes in fair values and any subsequent write down is charged to impairment losses on real estate properties. Whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, management assesses the recoverability of its real estate by comparing the carrying amount with its fair value. The process involved in the determination of fair value requires estimates as to future events and market conditions. This estimation process may assume that the Company has the ability to dispose of its real estate properties in the ordinary course of business based on management's present plans and intentions. If management determines that the carrying value of a specific real estate investment is impaired, a write-down is recorded as a charge to current period operations. The evaluation process is based on estimates and assumptions and the ultimate outcome may be different. The Company did not consider it necessary to record any impairment charges of real estate held for sale at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, real estate held for sale which includes capitalized improvements amounted to \$1,521,247.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes". Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases as well as operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to the extent that the recoverability of the asset is unlikely to be recognized.

The Company follows ASC 740 rules governing uncertain tax positions, which provides guidance for recognition and measurement. This prescribes a threshold condition that a tax position must meet for any of the benefits of the uncertain tax position to be recognized in the financial statements. It also provides accounting guidance on derecognization, classification and disclosure of these uncertain tax positions.

Basic and Diluted Net Loss per Share

Net loss per common share is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 260: Earnings Per Share ("ASC 260"). Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted net loss per share does not include dilutive common stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding as they would be anti-dilutive. The Company has warrants outstanding to purchase 1,500,000 shares of Common Stock at September 30, 2012 and was excluded from the computation of diluted shares outstanding as they would have had an anti-dilutive impact on the Company's net loss.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

	Mo	or the Nine onths ended ptember 30, 2012	Mo	r the Nine nths ended tember 30, 2011
Numerator:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(5,022,923)	\$	(3,990)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(1,426,846)	\$	-
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic and diluted loss per share				
(weighted-average shares)		35,328,936		10,000,000
Loss per common share, basic and diluted:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.00)
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.00)

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company accounts for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets according to the ASC 360 "Property, Plant and Equipment". The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including mineral rights, may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. When necessary, impaired assets are written down to estimated fair value based on the best information available. Estimated fair value is generally based on either appraised value or measured by discounting estimated future cash flows. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate discounted future cash flows. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The Company recorded an impairment charge in connection with its mineral rights of \$1,256,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and has been included in loss from discontinued operations.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third-parties, compensation expense is determined at the "measurement date." The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date.

(DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Related Parties

Parties are considered to be related to the Company if the parties, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by, or are under common control with the Company. Related parties also include principal owners of the Company, its management, members of the immediate families of principal owners of the Company and its management and other parties with which the Company may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. The Company discloses all related party transactions.

Mineral Property Acquisition and Exploration Costs

Costs of lease, exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties were expensed as incurred. The Company expensed all mineral exploration costs as incurred. Such expenses are included in the loss from discontinued operations and prior periods have been restated in the Company's financial statements and related footnotes to conform to this presentation.

The Company's remaining claims which include (1) mining lease encompassing 1,520 acres of land owned by J. H. Ranch, Inc. located in San Juan County, Utah (2) certain unpatented lode mining claims acquired on March 9, 2012, located in San Juan County, Utah (3) the Pitchfork Claims, acquired in January 2012 and located in San Miguel County Colorado and (4) the claims acquired on June 11, 2012 from Pershing which include the Coso, Artillery Peak, Blythe and Carnotite properties.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

NOTE 3 - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

During June 2012, the Company decided to discontinue its exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business and prior periods have been restated in the Company's consolidated financial statements and related footnotes to conform to this presentation. The Company will focus its activities on its new business segment, which is in the business of acquisition, renovating, and selling real estate properties.

The remaining assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are presented in the balance sheet under the caption "Assets and Liabilities of discontinued operation" and relates to the discontinued operations of the uranium and vanadium minerals business.

The carrying amounts of the major classes of these assets and liabilities are summarized as follows:

	Septer	nber 30,	Dec	ember 31,
	20	012		2011
Assets:				
Prepaid expenses – current portion	\$	-	\$	20,000
Deposits		-		3,500
Assets of discontinued operations	\$	-	\$	23,500
Liabilities:				
Accounts payables and accrued expenses	\$	30,664	\$	-
Liabilities of discontinued operations	\$	30,664	\$	<u> </u>

(DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 3 - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (continued)

The following table indicates selected financial data of the Company's discontinued operations of its uranium and vanadium minerals business.

	For the Nine Months September 30, 2012	Period from inception (April 30, 2011) to September 30, 2011
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -
Cost of sales	-	-
Gross profit	<u>-</u>	-
Operating and other non-operating expenses	(1,426,846)	-
•		
Loss from discontinued operations	\$ (1,426,846)	\$ -

NOTE 4 - NOTES PAYABLE - RELATED PARTY

In November 2011, the Company issued a promissory note for \$53,500 to an affiliated company owned by the officers of Amicor. The note was payable in full without interest on or before January 15, 2012.

In December 2011, the Company issued a promissory note for \$99,474 to an affiliated company owned by the officers of Amicor. The note was payable in full without interest on or before January 15, 2012 and was subject to a late charge of 5% per annum if not paid within 15 days after January 15, 2012. Such note was issued in connection with the execution of a lease assignment agreement between the Company and the affiliated company for certain mineral rights located in San Juan County, Utah.

On January 30, 2012, the Company paid both promissory notes for a total of \$152,974. The affiliated company agreed not to charge the Company a late penalty fee upon satisfaction of the notes.

NOTE 5 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

On November 25, 2011, the board of directors of the Company authorized a 1.362612612 for one forward split in the form of a dividend, whereby an additional 0.362612612 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, were issued for each one share of common stock held by each shareholder of record on December 9, 2011. All share amounts have been adjusted to reflect the number of shares of common stock on a post-dividend/post-split basis.

On December 7, 2011, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada in order to increase the Company's authorized capital to 200,000,000 shares of common stock from 75,000,000 shares, change the par value to \$0.0001 per share from \$.001 per share, and authorized new 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share.

NOTE 5 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

Common Stock

On January 26, 2012, the Company entered into the Exchange Agreement with Amicor and Amicor Shareholders (see Note 1). Upon closing of the Share Exchange, on January 26, 2012, the Amicor Shareholders transferred all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Amicor to the Company in exchange for an aggregate of 10,000,000 shares of the common stock of the Company. Additionally, as further consideration for entering into the Exchange Agreement, certain Amicor Shareholders received ten-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 6,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of 0.50 per share.

Immediately following the closing of the Share Exchange and a private placement of the Company's securities (described below), under an Agreement of Conveyance, Transfer and Assignment of Assets and Assumption of Obligations (the "Conveyance Agreement"), the Company transferred all of the pre-Share Exchange assets and liabilities to a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Verve Holdings, Inc. ("SplitCo"). Pursuant to a stock purchase agreement, the Company transferred all of the outstanding capital stock of SplitCo to certain former shareholders of the Company in exchange for the cancellation of an aggregate of 4,769,144 (post-split) shares of the Company's common stock that they owned (the "Split-Off"), with 7,500,000 (post split) shares of the Company's common stock held by persons who acquired such shares prior to the Share Exchange remaining outstanding. Accordingly, following the Split-Off, 7,500,000 shares will constitute as the Company's "public float".

On January 26, 2012, the Company sold 10,029,965 shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$0.50 per share in a private placement to accredited investors, resulting in aggregate net proceeds to the Company of \$4,993,965 (the "Private Placement"), which includes an aggregate of \$100,000 advanced to Amicor for general working capital purposes prior to the closing of the Share Exchange which was converted into an aggregate of \$200,000 shares of Common Stock in the Private Placement and an aggregate of \$75,000 in debt owed in January 2012 for legal fees incurred by Amicor which was converted into an aggregate 150,000 shares of Common Stock in the Private Placement. On January 30, 2012, the Company sold an additional 600,000 shares of Common Stock in the Private Placement with gross proceeds to the Company of \$300,000 for total net proceeds to the Company of \$5,293,965. In connection with these private placements, the Company paid legal fees of \$21,000.

On January 26, 2012, contemporaneously with the Share Exchange, the Company also entered into an Option Agreement with Pershing pursuant to which the Company obtained the option (the "Option") to acquire certain uranium exploration rights and properties held by Pershing for a purchase price of \$10.00. In consideration for issuance of the Option, the Company issued to Pershing (i) a \$1,000,000 promissory note payable in installments upon satisfaction of certain conditions, expiring six months following issuance and (ii) 10 million shares of the Company's Common Stock (collectively, the "Option Consideration"). On January 26, 2012, Pershing held 26.65% of interest in the Company. David Rector and Joshua Bleak were appointed members of the Company's board of directors. David Rector is a member of the board of Pershing and Joshua Bleak is the Chief Executive Officer and a director of Continental Resources Group, Inc. (a company which is one of the largest shareholders of Pershing).

As of September 30, 2012, \$930,000 of the principal amount of note has been paid. Under the terms of the note, the Company was required to pay the balance of the note upon completion of a private placement totaling \$1 million or more on or before July 26, 2012. The \$1.0 million private placement was not completed by that date thus the Company was not required to pay the final \$70,000 due under the note and a total of \$930,000 has been paid under the note. On June 11, 2012, the Company and Pershing effected the exercise of the Option, through the assignment of Pershing's wholly owned subsidiary, Acquisition Sub, (see Note 1). As a result of the assignment, Acquisition Sub became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and the Company acquired all of Pershing's uranium assets. The Company recorded the 10 million shares at par value or \$1,000. Pursuant to ASC 805-50-30-2 "Business Combinations", the Company determined that if the consideration paid is not in the form of cash, the measurement may be based on either (i) the cost which is measured based on the fair value of the consideration given or (ii) the fair value of the assets (or net assets) acquired, whichever is more clearly evident and thus more reliably measurable. The Company determined that the fair value of the net assets acquired was a better indicator thus more reliably measurable than the fair value of the common stock issued.

As a result, on June 11, 2012, the Company recorded the value of the Option Consideration amounting to \$931,000 to mineral rights which was initially recorded as a deposit before the date of exercise as reflected in the first quarter of 2012.

AMERICAN STRATEGIC MINERALS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (FORMERLY VERVE VENTURES, INC.) (DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 5 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

Between March 2012 and August 2012, the Company issued an aggregate of 4,494,829 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of the 6,200,000 stock warrants on a cashless basis. The Company valued these common shares at par value (see Note – Common Stock Warrants).

On June 11, 2012, the Company cancelled a total of 9,806,667 shares of common stock and 4,800,000 warrants in connection with the Rescission Agreement (see Note 1). Upon the execution of the Rescission Agreement, the Company paid to Amicor Shareholders an aggregate of \$132,000 and was recorded to additional paid in capital.

Common Stock Warrants

On January 26, 2012, the Company issued to certain Amicor Shareholders ten-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 6,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price of 0.50 per share in connection with the Exchange Agreement (see Note 1).

The Company entered into consulting agreements with Melechdavid Inc. and GRQ Consultants, Inc., pursuant to which such consultants will provide consulting services to the Company in consideration for which the Company sold to the consultants warrants to purchase an aggregate of 3,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share (the "Consulting Warrants"). The services provided by the consultants include introductions to banking relationships, consulting on strategic acquisitions and advice on capital restructuring. The Consulting Warrants have a term of ten years and were exercisable on a cashless basis after twelve months if the shares of common stock underlying the Consulting Warrants are not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In March 2012, the Company entered into a First Amendment to the Consulting Warrants (the "First Amendment") with such consultants to amend the cashless exercise terms of the warrants. The First Amendment provides for the exercise of the Consulting Warrants on a cashless basis immediately upon the execution of the First Amendment. In March 2012, the Company issued an aggregate of 2,722,222 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of the 3,500,000 Consulting Warrants on a cashless basis. The Company's current Chief Executive Officer is the President of Melechdavid Inc.

The Company issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 2,700,000 shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share to Joshua Bleak, David Rector, Stuart Smith and George Glasier, in consideration for their services as directors of the Company (the "Director Warrants"). The Director Warrants have a term of ten years and are exercisable on a cashless basis after twelve months if the shares of common stock underlying the Director Warrants are not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Director Warrants issued to Mr. Smith, Mr. Rector and Mr. Bleak vest in three equal annual installments with the first installment vesting one year from the date of issuance. The Director Warrant issued to Mr. Glasier is immediately exercisable. In March 2012, the Company issued an aggregate of 1,166,667 shares of common stock to Mr. Glasier in connection with the exercise of the 1,500,000 stock warrants on a cashless basis. Such 1,166,667 shares were cancelled on June 11, 2012 in connection with the Rescission Agreement (see Note 1).

The Company also issued a ten-year warrant to purchase an aggregate of 300,000 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share to Daniel Bleak, an outside consultant to the Company, which vests in three equal annual installments with the first installment vesting one year from the date of issuance (the "Additional Consulting Warrant"). The Additional Consulting Warrant is exercisable on a cashless basis after twelve months in the absence of an effective registration statement covering the resale of the shares of Common Stock underlying the Additional Consulting Warrant. Daniel Bleak is the father of Joshua Bleak, a member of the Company's board of directors. The Company did not enter into a consulting agreement with Mr. Bleak.

The 6,500,000 warrants were valued on the grant date at approximately \$0.50 per warrant or a total of \$3,242,850 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model used for this valuation had the following assumptions: stock price of \$0.50 per share (based on the per share price of the Company's common stock in the most recent private placements), volatility of 191% (estimated using volatilities of similar companies), expected term of approximately ten years, and a risk free interest rate of 1.96%. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded stock-based compensation and stock-based consulting expense of \$881,390 and \$1,779,410, respectively. At September 30, 2012, there was a total of \$528,050 of unrecognized compensation expense related to these non-vested warrant-based compensation arrangements discussed above.

(DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 5 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

Between July 2012 and August 2012, the Company issued an aggregate of 605,940 shares of common stock to two warrant holders in connection with the exercise of 1,200,000 stock warrants on a cashless basis.

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock warrants and changes during the period then ended is as follows:

			Weighted
			Average
		Weighted	Remaining
	Number of	Average	Contractual
	Warrants	Exercise Price	Life (Years)
Balance at December 31, 2011	_	\$ -	-
Granted	12,500,000	0.50	10
Cancelled	(4,800,000)	0.50	9.80
Forfeited	-	-	-
Exercised	(6,200,000)	0.50	9.70
Balance at September 30, 2012	1,500,000	\$ 0.50	9.30
Warrants exercisable at September 30, 2012	-	\$ -	-
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the nine months ended			
September 30, 2012		\$ 0.50	

Common Stock Option

In August 2012, the Company entered into executive employment agreements (the "Employment Agreement") with Mark Groussman, Chief Executive Officer of the Company and John Stetson, President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company (the "Executives"). In connection with the Employment Agreement, the Company granted to Executives an aggregate of 3,000,000 10-year options to purchase shares of common stock at \$0.50 per share which vest in full upon issuance. The Company also granted Mr. Groussman 1,000,000 restricted shares which shall vest as follows: 1/3 after the Company achieves at least \$800,000 in gross profits, 1/3 after the Company achieves at least \$1,200,000 in gross profits and 1/3 after the Company achieves at least \$1,600,000 in gross profits; 1/3 after the Company achieves at least \$1,200,000 in gross profits; 1/3 after the Company achieves at least \$1,600,000 in gross profits. The Company shall account for the restricted shares once vested pursuant to the terms of the Employment Agreement.

The 3,000,000 options were valued on the grant date at approximately \$0.48 per option or a total of \$1,454,400 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: stock price of \$0.50 per share (based on the recent selling price of the Company's common stock at private placements), volatility of 192%, expected term of 5 years, and a risk free interest rate of 0.61%.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded stock-based compensation of \$1,454,400.

NOTE 6 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In November 2011, the Company issued a promissory note for \$53,500 to an affiliated company owned by the officers of Amicor. The note was payable in full without interest on or before January 15, 2012. In December 2011, the Company issued a promissory note for \$99,474 to an affiliated company owned by the officers of Amicor. The note was payable in full without interest on or before January 15, 2012. Such note was issued in connection with the execution of a lease assignment agreement between the Company and the affiliated company for certain mineral rights located in San Juan County, Utah. On January 30, 2012, the Company paid both promissory notes above for a total of \$152,974. The affiliated company agreed not to charge the Company a late penalty fee upon satisfaction of the notes.

(DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 6 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

On January 26, 2012, the Company entered into a 1 year consulting agreement with GRQ Consultants, Inc., pursuant to which such consultant will provide certain services to the Company in consideration for which the Company sold to the consultant warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,750,000 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50. Barry Honig is the owner of GRQ Consultants, Inc. GRQ Consultants, Inc. 401(k), which is also owned by Mr. Honig, purchased an aggregate of \$500,000 of shares of Common Stock in the Company's Private Placement. In addition, the Company entered into an Option Agreement with Pershing and Mr. Honig is a member of Pershing's board of directors (see Note 5). Additionally, the Company entered into consulting agreement with Melechdavid Inc. in consideration for which the Company sold to Melechdavid Inc. warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,750,000 shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. The Company's current Chief Executive Officer is the President of Melechdavid Inc. (see Note 5).

On January 26, 2012 the Company also issued a ten-year warrant to purchase an aggregate of 300,000 shares of Common Stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share to Daniel Bleak, an outside consultant to the Company, which vests in three equal annual installments with the first installment vesting one year from the date of issuance. Daniel Bleak is the father of Joshua Bleak, a member of the Company's board of directors. Additionally, in August 2012, the Company paid Daniel Bleak \$50,000 for research and business advisory services rendered pursuant to a Professional Service Agreement executed on August 1, 2012.

On March 19, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with California Gold Corp. ("California Gold"), pursuant to which the Company agreed to provide California Gold with a geological review on or prior to March 30, 2012, of the Company's certain uranium properties in consideration for \$125,000 (see Note 8). David Rector, the Company's director, is a member of California Gold's board of directors.

The Company's principal place of business was located in a building owned by Silver Hawk Ltd., a Colorado corporation. George Glasier, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Silver Hawk Ltd. The Company leased its office space on a month to month basis at a monthly rate of \$850 pursuant to a lease effective January 1, 2012. Under the terms of the Rescission Agreement, the Company's lease for such office space was terminated.

Between June 2012 and July 2012, the Company loaned \$147,708 to an affiliated company in exchange for a secured promissory note. The note bears 6% interest per annum and shall become due and payable on or before June 29, 2013. This note is secured by a real estate property owned by the affiliated company. As of September 30, 2012, note receivable – related party and interest receivable amounted to \$147,708 and \$2,216, respectively. Such interest receivable is included in the caption, prepaid expenses and other current assets. Barry Honig, the President of the affiliated company, is a shareholder of the Company. Additionally, in August 2012, the Company issued 302,970 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of 600,000 stock warrants on a cashless basis. The warrant holder was Barry Honig who purchased 600,000 warrants from a third party in June 2012.

In August 2012, the Company issued 302,970 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of 600,000 stock warrants on a cashless basis. The warrant holder was Melechdavid Inc. who purchased 600,000 warrants from a third party in June 2012. The Company's current Chief Executive Officer is the President of Melechdavid Inc.

NOTE 7 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Mining Lease Agreements

In November 2011, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Amicor, entered into several mining lease agreements with certain officers of Amicor and affiliated companies owned by the officers of Amicor (collectively the "Lessors"). Such mining lease agreements granted and leased to the Company mineral properties located in the County of San Juan, Utah, County of Montrose, Colorado and County of San Miguel, Colorado. The term of the mining lease agreements was for the period of 20 years. The Company was required to pay the annual Federal Bureau of Land Management maintenance fees and other fees required to hold the mineral properties. If the Company fails to keep or perform according to the terms of this agreement shall constitute an event of default and as such the Company shall have 10 days after receipt of default notice to make good or cure the default. Upon failure to cure the default, such mining lease agreements shall be terminated by the Lessors. The Company shall be under no further obligation or liability to the Lessors from and after the termination except for the performance of obligations and satisfaction of accrued liabilities to Lessors or third parties prior to such termination. On June 11, 2012, the Company terminated the leases in connection with the Rescission Agreement (see Note 1).

(DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 7 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

In December 2011, the Company, entered into a Lease Assignment and Acceptance Agreement with an affiliated company owned by the former officers of Amicor whereby the affiliated company agreed to assign its mineral rights and interests to the Company under a Surface and Mineral Lease Agreement dated in October 2011 with J.H. Ranch, Inc. located in San Juan County, Utah. The Company agreed to perform all of the affiliated company's obligation under the Surface and Mineral Lease Agreement, including the payment of all lease payments, annual rents, advanced royalties, production royalties and other compensation as defined in the 20 year term Agreement.

The following schedule consists of the lease payment to Lessor based from the Agreement:

Due Date of Lease Payments from October 2011	Amount of Lease Paymen		
On or before the 30th day after the 1st Anniversary	\$	42,500	
On or before the 30th day after the 2nd Anniversary	\$	70,000	
On or before the 30th day after the 3rd Anniversary	\$	87,500	
On or before the 30th day after the 4th Anniversary as the 5th and final payment	\$	87,500	

The Company is required under the terms of the Agreement to make annual rent payments commencing on or before the 30th day after the 5th anniversary and each year thereafter and shall pay \$10 for each acre of land contained within the lease premises.

The following schedule consists of the advance royalty payments to Lessor based from the Agreement:

Due Date of Advance Royalty Payments from October 2011	t of Advance ty Payment
On or before the 30th day after the 1st Anniversary	\$ 42,500
On or before the 30th day after the 2nd Anniversary	\$ 70,000
On or before the 30th day after the 3rd Anniversary	\$ 87,500
On or before the 30th day after the 4th Anniversary as the 5th and final payment	\$ 87,500

The Company shall pay a production royalty of 6.25% of the fair market value of all crude ores containing uranium, canadium and associated and related minerals mined and sold from the leased deposits. When production royalty payments from the sales of ores from the leased premises equal the cumulative amount due to Lessor as advanced royalty payment, the Company shall pay Lessor 12.5% of the fair market value as defined in the Agreement.

On January 30, 2012, the Company entered into a Mining Claim and Lease Sale/Purchase Agreement with Robert A. Larson whereby Mr. Larson sold and quitclaimed certain claims to the Company under a quitclaim deed and assigned the lease to the Company pursuant to a lease assignment in consideration for an aggregate purchase price One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000). Pursuant to the terms of the agreement and the Quitclaim Deed, the Company shall pay to Mr. Larson a Production Royalty, on a quarterly basis, equal to 5% of the fair market value (calculated pursuant to the terms of the Quitclaim Deed) of all crude ores containing uranium, vanadium and associated and related minerals mined and shipped or sold from the Claims or fed to "Initial Process" defined in the Quitclaim Deed as "any processing or milling procedure to up-grade, concentrate or refine crude ores, including custom milling or other processing arrangement whereby title to the crude ore and all products derived therefrom is retained by the Company. Such property is located in San Miguel County, Colorado consisting of 320 acres more or less. The term of the assigned lease shall be for a period of 10 years and the Company shall have the right to renew and extend for an additional 10 year period. Under the lease, the Company shall pay annual rent payments of \$10 for each acre of land contained within the property. Once development, mining and/or production has commenced and defined areas for mining has been designated, the annual rent payment for that portion shall be \$25 for each acre designated with the remaining acreage shall continue to be paid at \$10 for each acre. The Company shall also pay surface damage as defined in the Lease.

NOTE 7 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

Agreements Purchased from Pershing Gold Corporation

On June 11, 2012, the Company and Pershing effected the exercise of the Option, through the assignment of Pershing's wholly owned subsidiary, Acquisition Sub (see Note 5). As a result of the assignment, Acquisition Sub became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and the Company acquired all of Pershing's uranium assets including certain lease agreements in uranium mining claims in Arizona, California and North Dakota.

Uranium Lease Agreements

The Company acquired the following Uranium lease agreements:

1) Slope County, North Dakota, Lease 1 and 2

On June 28, 2007, through Acquisition Sub's majority owned subsidiary, Secure Energy, LLC, signed a 20 year mining lease to develop and operate 472.8 acres of uranium mining properties in the Slope County, North Dakota. The Company prepaid the annual payment of \$10 per net acre for eight years amounting to \$36,717 at the date of signing. The Company will pay a production royalty of \$0.75 per pound of all uranium sales.

2) Slope County, North Dakota, Lease 3

On November 23, 2007, through Acquisition Sub's majority owned subsidiary, Secure Energy, LLC, the Company signed a 10 year mining lease, with the right to extend an additional 10 years, to develop and operate 554.24 acres of uranium mining properties in the Slope County, North Dakota. The Company prepaid the annual payment of \$10 per net acre for ten years amounting to \$53,775 at the date of signing. The Company will pay a production royalty of \$0.75 per pound of all uranium sales or 5% of net proceeds from the sale of uranium bearing ores.

Royalty agreements

On June 11, 2012, through the assignment of Acquisition Sub, the Company purchased a 100% interest in 86 unpatented lode mining claims located in Mohave County, Arizona. The Company will pay a 3% net smelter returns royalty on all uranium sales. The Company shall have the right to reduce the royalty from 3% to 0% by paying the aggregate sum of \$1,500,000 (\$500,000 for each 1%).

On June 11, 2012, through the assignment of Acquisition Sub, the Company assumed the purchase and sale agreement with Absaroka Stone LLC to purchase certain unpatented mining claims commonly known as the "Uinta County (Carnotite) Uranium Prospect" located in the Uinta County of Wyoming. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Absaroka Stone LLC agreed not to stake for its own account any additional mining claims within a 15 mile radius of the property. Any additional mining claims to be located within a 15 mile radius of the property (the "Claim Body") were to be located, staked and filed by the Company, at its expense and held in its name. Such agreement requires a minimum of \$200,000 relating to location, maintenance, exploration, development or equipping any one or more of the mining claims that comprise the Claim Body for commercial production within 24 months from the date of the agreement in May 2011. If the Company fails to incur a minimum of \$200,000 in expenses related to the foregoing within 24 months, the Company shall pay an aggregate sum of \$50,000 to Absaroka Stone LLC. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the Company would pay a 1% gross royalty to Absaroka Stone LLC on any revenues derived from the sale of all uranium-vanadium, gold, silver, copper and rare earth ores or concentrates produced from the Claim Body, up to an aggregate of \$1,000,000. The Company has the option to eliminate the royalty obligations by paying Absaroka Stone LLC an aggregate payment of \$1,000,000.

NOTE 8 - MARKETABLE SECURITIES

Marketable securities at September 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Publicly traded equity securities – available for sale	\$ 125,000		(112,500)	\$ 12,500

Available for sale securities are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities - available for sale are recognized on a periodic basis as an element of comprehensive income based on changes in the fair value of the security. Once liquidated, realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities available for sale will be reflected in the Company's net loss for the period in which the security are liquidated.

On March 19, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with California Gold, pursuant to which the Company agreed to provide California Gold with a geological review (the "Report") on or prior to March 30, 2012, of the Company's certain uranium properties pursuant to which California Gold may determine and identify the approximate locations and scope of geologic formations that could contain potential gold deposits on these properties.

In consideration for delivery of the Report, California Gold agreed to pay the Company \$125,000, which payment may, at the election of California Gold, be paid in cash or in unregistered shares of California Gold common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "California Gold Common Stock"), issued by California Gold. In the event that California Gold elects to deliver the California Gold Common Stock, it shall deliver such number of shares of California Gold Common Stock that shall be equal to the number which results from dividing \$125,000 by the lesser of: (i) the closing price of a share of the California Gold Common Stock as quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board on March 19, 2012 or (ii) the purchase price per share of California Gold Common Stock paid by investors in California Gold sold in California Gold's next financing, if any, on or before March 30, 2012. In March 2012, the Company received 1,250,000 restricted shares of California Gold.

At the time of issuance, the Company valued the shares of California Gold and recorded the cost of investment at the fair market value (based on the closing price pursuant to the agreement) of the shares at \$0.10 per share or \$125,000 and was recorded as other income during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as reflected in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations.

The Company has recorded unrealized loss of \$112,500 as an element of comprehensive income during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 1, 2012, the shareholders holding a majority of the Company's voting capital voted and authorized the Company to (i) change the name of the Company to "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." and (ii) to effectuate a reverse stock split of the Company's common stock by a ratio of 3-for-2 within one year from the date of approval of the stockholders of the Company. The Board of Directors of the Company approved the Name Change and the Reverse Split on October 1, 2012. The Company's Board of Directors determined the name "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." better reflects the long-term strategy in exploring other opportunities and the identity of the Company going forward. The Company has not yet filed an amendment to its Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Nevada in order to change the Company's name or effectuate the Reverse Split.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Report on Form 10-Q and other written and oral statements made from time to time by us may contain so-called "forward-looking statements," all of which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expects," "plans," "will," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "estimates," and other words of similar meaning. One can identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address our growth strategy, financial results and product and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ from our forward looking statements. These factors may include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

Information regarding market and industry statistics contained in this Report is included based on information available to us that we believe is accurate. It is generally based on industry and other publications that are not produced for purposes of securities offerings or economic analysis. We have not reviewed or included data from all sources, and cannot assure investors of the accuracy or completeness of the data included in this Report. Forecasts and other forward-looking information obtained from these sources are subject to the same qualifications and the additional uncertainties accompanying any estimates of future market size, revenue and market acceptance of products and services. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statement. As a result, investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Recent Events

During June 2012, we decided to discontinue our exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business. We will focus our activities exclusively on our new business segment, which is in the business of acquiring, renovating, and selling real estate properties (primarily residential in nature) located within the areas of Southern California. We intend to sell such real estate within 10 to 12 months from the date of purchase. We may, from time to time, re-evaluate our business and continue to explore new strategic opportunities that may be divergent from our current business focus.

Our objectives include the following:

- To purchase distressed single family homes, principally within Southern California, and renovate, and market its properties for resale; and
- To purchase distressed multi-unit residential real estate, and to renovate and operate as income producing property, generating cash flow and long-term capital appreciation.

On October 1, 2012, our shareholders holding a majority of the voting capital voted and authorized us to (i) change our name to "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." and (ii) to effectuate a Reverse Split of our common stock by a ratio of 3-for-2 within one year from the date of approval of our stockholders. Our Board of Directors approved the Name Change and the Reverse Split on October 1, 2012. Our Board of Directors determined the name "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." better reflects the long-term strategy in exploring other opportunities and the identity of the Company going forward. We have not yet filed an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State of Nevada to either change our name or effectuate the Reverse Split.

On June 11, 2012, we entered into the Rescission Agreement with Amicor and the Amicor Shareholders. Each of the Amicor Shareholders received shares of our common stock (and certain Amicor Shareholders also received warrants to purchase shares of our common stock) (collectively, the Shareholder Securities") pursuant to the Exchange Agreement dated as January 26, 2012. Pursuant to the terms of the Rescission Agreement, each of the Amicor Shareholders, with the exception of one, agreed to return the Shareholder Securities to us for cancellation and to enter into joint mutual releases with us. Furthermore, pursuant to the terms of the Rescission Agreement, George Glasier resigned from his position as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman; Kathleen Glasier resigned from her position as our Secretary, Michael Moore resigned from his position as our Chief Operating Officer and Vice President and each of David Andrews and Kyle Kimmerle resigned from their position as our directors. As a result of the foregoing, we cancelled 9,806,667 shares of our common stock and 4,800,000 warrants and terminated the mining leases entered into with certain of the Shareholders. Additionally, we paid an aggregate of \$132,000 to Amicor Shareholders upon the execution of the Rescission Agreement.

Under the terms of the Rescission Agreement, the employment agreement with Mr. Glasier was terminated and Mr. Glasier acknowledged that all options, warrants and rights to acquire any shares of our common stock, whether vested or unvested, were terminated as of the date of the Rescission Agreement.

On June 11, 2012, the Company and Pershing effected the exercise of the Option entered into in January 2012, through the assignment of Pershing's wholly owned subsidiary, Acquisition Sub. As a result of the assignment, Acquisition Sub became a wholly owned subsidiary ours and we acquired all of Pershing's uranium assets.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and present the financial statements of the Company and our wholly-owned subsidiary. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Development Stage Companies

We are a development stage company. Activities during the development stage include organizing the business, raising capital and acquiring real estate properties. We are a development stage company with no revenues and no profits. We have not commenced significant operations and, in accordance with ASC Topic 915 "Development Stage Entities", is considered a development stage company.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to, the assumptions used to calculate fair value of warrants granted, common stock issued for services, and common stock issued in connection with an option agreement.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing US GAAP that require the use of fair value measurements which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate their estimated fair market value based on the short-term maturity of this instrument.

In addition, FASB ASC 825-10-25 "Fair Value Option" was effective for January 1, 2008. ASC 825-10-25 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation is accounted for based on the requirements of the Share-Based Payment Topic of ASC 718 which requires recognition in the consolidated financial statements of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments over the period the employee or director is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively, the vesting period). The ASC also requires measurement of the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 505-50, for share-based payments to consultants and other third-parties, compensation expense is determined at the "measurement date." The expense is recognized over the vesting period of the award. Until the measurement date is reached, the total amount of compensation expense remains uncertain. The Company initially records compensation expense based on the fair value of the award at the reporting date.

Real Estate Held for Sale

Real estate held for sale consists of a residential property located in Southern California. Real estate held for sale is initially recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair market value less the estimated cost to sell. After acquisition, costs incurred relating to the development and improvements of property are capitalized to the extent they do not cause the recorded value to exceed the net realizable value, whereas costs relating to holding and disposition of the property are expensed as incurred. After acquisition, real estate held for sale is analyzed periodically for changes in fair values and any subsequent write down is charged to impairment losses on real estate properties. Whenever events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, management assesses the recoverability of its real estate by comparing the carrying amount with its fair value. The process involved in the determination of fair value requires estimates as to future events and market conditions. This estimation process may assume that we have the ability to dispose of our real estate properties in the ordinary course of business based on management's present plans and intentions. If management determines that the carrying value of a specific real estate investment is impaired, a write-down is recorded as a charge to current period operations. The evaluation process is based on estimates and assumptions and the ultimate outcome may be different.

Long-Lived Assets

We review for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable, pursuant to guidance established in ASC 360-10-35-15, "Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". We recognize an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset's estimated fair value and its book value.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the financial statements upon adoption.

Results of Operations

American Strategic Minerals Corporation business began on April 30, 2011 and accordingly, we had minimal operations for the prior period. We are still in our development stage and have generated no revenues to date.

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2012

We incurred operating expenses of \$1,809,126 and \$5,150,853 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. These expenses primarily consisted of general expenses, compensation, professional fees and consulting incurred in connection with the day to day operation of our business. The operating expenses consisted of the following:

	Inre	e Months	Nir	ne Months
	Ended		Ended	
	Septe	September 30,		tember 30,
		2012		2012
Travel and related expenses	\$	12,613	\$	93,404
Professional fees		79,608		449,811
Compensation and related taxes		1,556,790		2,479,182
Consulting fees		92,473		1,949,067
Other general and administrative		67,642		179,389
Total	\$	1,809,126	\$	5,150,853

- Travel and related expenses: Travel expenses were \$12,613 and \$93,404 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 respectively. These expenses are in connection with conference campaign and business development related travel.
- Compensation expense and related taxes: Compensation expense includes salaries and stock-based compensation to our employees. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, compensation expense and related payroll taxes were \$1,556,790 and \$2,479,182, respectively, which is primarily attributable to stock based compensation of approximately \$1.5 million and \$2.3 million for the three and nine months period, respectively, in connection with warrant and option grants to our directors and officers during the period.
- Consulting fees: For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we incurred consulting fees of \$92,473, and \$1,949,067, respectively, which is primarily attributable to stock based consulting expense of approximately \$1.8 million during the nine month period in connection with warrant grants to consultants for consulting on strategic acquisitions and advice on capital restructuring during the period.
- · Professional fees: For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, professional fees were \$79,608 and \$449,811, respectively, which includes fees incurred for audits and legal fees related to public company filing requirements.
- Other general and administrative expenses: For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 other general and administrative expenses were \$67,642 and \$179,389, respectively, which includes postage, general insurance, automobile, office supplies, utilities, rent expense and office expenses.

Operating Loss from Continuing Operations

We reported an operating loss from continuing operations of \$1,809,126 and \$5,150,853 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. We reported an operating loss from continuing operations of \$2,453 and \$3,990 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and for the period from inception (April 30, 2011) to September 30, 2011, respectively. The increase in operating loss was due to the increase in operating expenses described above.

Other Income

Total other income was \$2,757 and \$127,930 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. On March 19, 2012, we entered into an agreement with California Gold, pursuant to which we agreed to provide California Gold with a geological review on or prior to March 30, 2012, of our certain uranium properties in consideration for \$125,000.

Discontinued Operations

During late June 2012, we decided to discontinue our exploration and potential development of uranium and vanadium minerals business and prior periods have been restated in our consolidated financial statements and related footnotes to conform to this presentation. We will focus our activities on our new business segment, which is in the business of acquisition, renovating, and selling real estate properties.

The following table indicates selected financial data of the Company's discontinued operations of its uranium and vanadium minerals business.

	Fo	or the Nine	Period from	
		Months	inception	
	Se	otember 30,	(April 30, 2011)	to
		2012	September 30, 20	11
Revenues	\$	-	\$	-
Cost of sales		-		-
Gross profit		-		-
Operating and other non-operating expenses		(1,426,846)		-
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(1,426,846)	\$	-

Net loss

We reported a net loss of approximately \$6.4 million or (\$(0.18) per common shares - basic and diluted and approximately \$1.9 million or \$(0.06) per common share - basic and diluted, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and a net loss of approximately \$2,500 and \$4,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and for the period from inception (April 30, 2011) to September 30, 2011, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate funds to support its current and future operations, satisfy its obligations, and otherwise operate on an ongoing basis. At September 30, 2012, we had a cash balance of approximately \$1.5 million and working capital of approximately \$1.7 million. We have been funding our operations through the sale of our common stock through private placements for operating capital purposes.

We may be required to raise additional funds, particularly if we are unable to generate positive cash flow as a result of our operations. We estimate that based on current plans and assumptions, that our available cash is sufficient to satisfy our cash requirements under our present operating expectations for up to 12 months. We presently have no other alternative source of working capital. We may not have sufficient working capital to fund the expansion of our operations and to provide working capital necessary for our ongoing operations and obligations after 12 months. We have not generated revenues to support our daily operations from the inception of development stage. We may need to raise significant additional capital to fund our future operating expenses, pay our obligations, and grow our Company. We do not anticipate we will be profitable in 2012. Therefore our future operations will be dependent on our ability to secure additional financing. Financing transactions may include the issuance of equity or debt securities, obtaining credit facilities, or other financing mechanisms. The trading price of our common stock could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. Even if we are able to raise the funds required, it is possible that we could incur unexpected costs and expenses, or experience unexpected cash requirements that would force us to seek alternative financing. Furthermore, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our common stock. The inability to obtain additional capital may restrict our ability to grow and may reduce our ability to continue to conduct business operations. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we will likely be required to curtail our marketing and development plans and possibly cease our operations.

Operating Activities

We have not generated positive cash flows from operating activities. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2012, net cash flows used in operating activities was \$1,163,389 and was primarily attributable to our net loss of \$6,439,273, adjusted for the add-back of non-cash items such as stock based compensation of \$4,190,200, impairment of mining rights and assets of discontinued operations of \$1,286,248 and other income of \$125,000, and total changes in assets and liabilities of \$65,068 primarily attributable to an increase in prepaid expenses of \$54,516, increase in deposits of \$235,660, and increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$201,193.

Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$1,993,955 in connection with acquisition of mineral rights of \$325,000, investment in note receivable of \$147,708 and acquisition of real estate property including capitalized improvements of \$1,521,247 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Financing Activities

Net cash flows provided by financing activities were \$4,553,991 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. We received net proceeds from the sale of our securities of \$5,768,965 offset by payment on notes payable of \$1,082,974 and payments of \$132,000 in connection with the rescission agreement.

Contractual Obligations

We have certain fixed contractual obligations and commitments that include future estimated payments. Changes in our business needs, cancellation provisions, changing interest rates, and other factors may result in actual payments differing from the estimates. We cannot provide certainty regarding the timing and amounts of payments. We have presented below a summary of the most significant assumptions used in our determination of amounts presented in the tables, in order to assist in the review of this information within the context of our consolidated financial position, results of operation, and cash flows.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2012, and the effect these obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

		Payments Due By Period				
		Less than 1			4-5	5 Years
	Total		year	1-3 Years	Years	+
Contractual Obligations:	<u> </u>					
Uranium lease agreements	319	,500	45,700	163,900	93,900	16,000
Royalty agreement – minimum payments	287	,500	42,500	157,500	87,500	-
Total Contractual Obligations	\$ 607	,000 \$	88,200	\$ 321,400	\$ 181,400	\$ 16,000

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any other financial guarantees or other commitments to guarantee the payment obligations of any third parties. We have not entered into any derivative contracts that are indexed to our shares and classified as stockholder's equity or that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, we do not have any retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We maintain "disclosure controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, who is also our Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

With respect to the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operations of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, the Company's management has concluded that certain disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2012 due to the Company's limited internal resources and lack of ability to have multiple levels of transaction review. However, to the extent possible, we will implement procedures to assure that the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions will be performed by separate individuals. We believe that the foregoing steps will remediate the significant deficiency identified above, and we will continue to monitor the effectiveness of these steps and make any changes that our management deems appropriate.

Management is in the process of determining how best to change our current system and implement a more effective system to insure that information required to be disclosed in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately. Our management acknowledges the existence of this problem, and intends to developed procedures to address them to the extent possible given limitations in financial and manpower resources. While management is working on a plan, no assurance can be made at this point that the implementation of such controls and procedures will be completed in a timely manner or that they will be adequate once implemented.

Changes in Internal Controls.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

None.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Between July 17, 2012 and August 27, 2012, the Company issued 605,940 shares of common stock as a result of the cashless exercise of warrants. The transaction did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering. The issuance of the securities was deemed to be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended by virtue of Section 4(2) thereof, as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

On October 1, 2012, our shareholders holding a majority of the voting capital voted and authorized us to (i) change our name to "Marathon Patent Group, Inc." and (ii) to effectuate the Reverse Split of our common stock by a ratio of 3-for-2 within one year from the date of approval of our stockholders. Our Board of Directors approved the Name Change and the Reverse Split on October 1, 2012.

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
101.ins	XBRL Instance Document**
101.sch	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document**
101.cal	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Document**
101.def	XBRL Taxonomy Linkbase Document**
101.lab	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document**
101.pre	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document**

^{*} Filed herein

^{**} In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Amendment to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN STRATEGIC MINERALS CORPORATION

Date: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ Mark Groussman

Mark Groussman Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL AND ACCOUNTING OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

- I, Mark Groussman, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of American Strategic Minerals Corporation;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures
 to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant,
 including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly
 for the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this
 report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end
 of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Dated: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ Mark Groussman

Mark Groussman

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of American Strategic Minerals Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Mark Groussman, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) of the Company, certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 13, 2012 By: /s/ Mark Groussman

Mark Groussman Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.